

DOI: 10.21767/2471-8041.100096

The Failure of Uterine Artery Embolization with Methotrexate Infusion Combined Curettage as Treatment for Cesarean Scar Pregnancy – A Case Report

Xuechuan Han, Yang Fan and Yan Yu*

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ningxia People's Hospital, Yinchuan, P.R. China

*Corresponding author: Xuechuan Han, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ningxia People's Hospital, Yinchuan, 750002, P.R. China, Tel: 0951-2021491; E-mail: xuechuanhan1956@163.com

Received: March 08, 2018; Accepted: March 20, 2018; Published: March 22, 2018

Citation: Han X, Fan Y, Yu Y (2018) The Failure of Uterine Artery Embolization with Methotrexate Infusion Combined Curettage as Treatment for Cesarean Scar Pregnancy – A Case Report. Med Case Rep Vol. 4 No. 2:61.

Abstract

The rates of caesarean scar pregnancy have increased. An increasing incidence has been considered most likely related to much higher rates of caesarean section. It is a rare and potentially life-threatening complication of pregnancy because of misdiagnosis. Therefore, it is important to train gynecologists and sonographers in timely diagnosis of CSP and management. Here we present one cases of CSP that were treated in our department by uterine artery embolization with methotrexate infusion combined curettage. She was treated successfully by laparotomy because of profuse bleeding 21 days after UAE.

Keywords: Embryo implantation; Methotrexate infusion; Cesarean scar; Pregnancy

Introduction

Embryo implantation in a previous caesarean scar (CS) resulting in a caesarean scar pregnancy. CSP is rare but potentially catastrophic complication of a previous caesarean section. The first case of a CS ectopic pregnancy was reported in 1978 [1]. It has become an important and serious problem over the last 10 years, as a result of the worldwide increase in caesarean deliveries. Cesarean scar pregnancy is different from tubal, cervical, and other forms of ectopic pregnancy. Diagnosis is generally difficult, and a false-negative diagnosis may lead to major complications, including hysterectomy. The majority of CSPs are case reports or small case series reported in the literature, because of the rarity of the condition. There is no consensus on the preferred mode of treatment [2,3].

Case Presentation

The patient was a 39-year-old uniparous woman in her third pregnancy who was admitted to our department. She had an eventful past history of one LSCS, and one artificial termination of pregnancy with a complaint of genital bleeding

that had started two day earlier and amenorrhea for two months. Its suspicion of ectopic pregnancy in the cesarean scar from ultrasonography performed at another clinic center two weeks early. There was no treatment. In the examination at the time of admission, she was found to be hemodynamically stable and use of a speculum showed minimal bleeding. The B-HCG assays were 31620 mIU/mL. Transvaginal ultrasonography was performed, which showed a gestational sac of dimensions 1.5 × 0.9 cm in the region of the uterine scar, without an embryo (**Figures 1-4**). It was decided to use systemic MTX treatment combined with mifepristone. The B -HCG assays after MTX doses were 29361 mIU/mL. Because of the patient's declared desire to preserve her reproductive capacity, our team decided to perform local injection of MTX under ultrasound guidance. Because of the rich vascularity of the gestation sac. It could cause scar rupture and extensive hemorrhaging, even hysterectomy. So that we decided to perform UAE with arterial infusion of MTX (20 mg in each embolized uterine artery). The procedure was performed successful. We had curettage under ultrasound guidance 3 day after UAE with arterial infusing of MTX, which showed that there had been a favorable evolution of the ectopic mass, with diminished size and vascularization. The histologic examination revealed chorionic villi. It was accompanied by a gradual decline in the B -HCG assay(1584 mIU/ml) over the subsequent days and discharged. She admitted to our department because of profuse bleeding from uterine 21 days after UAE. The B-HCG assays were 141 mIU/mL. Transabdominal ultrasonography showed a gestational sac of dimensions 2.0 × 1.7 cm in the region of the uterine scar, without an embryo. She had emergent laparotomy because of profuse bleeding. Wedge resection of the lesion was performed. The B-HCG assays were 41.51 mIU/mL one day after operation. She was subsequently discharged from the hospital in a stable condition.

Studies have shown that local MTX infusion can be performed using higher doses of the drug without greater side effects compared to the systemic treatment using the same dose. Moreover, systemic absorption of MTX may be limited by deficient vascularization of the fibrous scar tissue [5-8].

Conclusion

In conclusion, although it has been indicated in the literature that UAE with local MTX infusion is a promising form of treatment, randomized controlled studies are still required in order to assess the real advantage of the procedure and to better evaluate the associated complications.

There are still some doubts regarding the intra-arterial dose that is recommended for treating ectopic masses in cesarean scars. In our case uterine artery embolization with methotrexate infusion combined curettage may be the preferred mode of treatment. But it failed in our case. Now day we have to rely on 'good practice points' based on anecdotal case reports and small case series. More research is required in this subject. So that setting up multicenter collaboration would encourage robust evidence-based studies essential for making recommendations for practice.

Acknowledgment

This paper is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Ningxia Province (Grant no. NZ11146).

References

1. Larsen JV, Solomon MH (1978) Pregnancy in a uterine scar sacculus: an unusual cause of postabortal haemorrhage. *S Afr Med J* 53: 142-143.
2. Timor-Tritsch IE, Monteagudo A (2012) Unforeseen consequences of the increasing rate of cesarean deliveries: early placenta accreta and cesarean scar pregnancy. A review. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 207: 14-29.
3. Timor-Tritsch IE, Monteagudo A, Santos R, Tsybal T, Pineda G, et al. (2012) The diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up of cesarean scar pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 207: 44e1-44e13.
4. Yang XY, Yu H, Li KM, Chu YX, Zheng A (2010) Uterine artery embolization combined with local methotrexate for treatment of cesarean scar pregnancy. *BJOG* 117: 990-996.
5. Ravhon A, Ben-Chetrit A, Rabinowitz R, Neuman M, Beller U (1997) Successful methotrexate treatment of a viable pregnancy within a thin uterine scar. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 104: 628-629.
6. Fylstra DL (2002) Ectopic pregnancy within a cesarean scar: A review. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 57: 537-543.
7. Fylstra DL, Pound-Chang T, Miller MG, Cooper A, Miller KM (2002) Ectopic pregnancy within a cesarean delivery scar: A case report. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 187: 302-304.
8. Cottier JP, Fignon A, Tranquart F, Herbreteau D (2002) Uterine necrosis after arterial embolization for postpartum hemorrhage. *Obstet Gynecol* 100: 1074-1077.