

Bilateral Internuclear Ophthalmoplegia (INO) as a Result of Glioblastoma Seeding in the Dorsal Pons

Wannu Orta*

Department of Neurology, University of Central Florida, Orlando, USA

Corresponding author: Wannu Orta, Department of Neurology, University of Central Florida, Orlando, USA, Email: orta@gmail.com

Received date: October 17, 2024, Manuscript No. IPMCRS-24-20056; **Editor assigned date:** October 21, 2024, PreQC No. IPMCRS-24-20056 (PQ);

Reviewed date: November 04, 2024, QC No. IPMCRS-24-20056; **Revised date:** November 11, 2024, Manuscript No. IPMCRS-24-20056 (R);

Published date: November 18, 2024, DOI: 10.36648/2471-8041.10.6.401

Citation: Orta W (2024) Bilateral Internuclear Ophthalmoplegia (INO) as a Result of Glioblastoma Seeding in the Dorsal Pons. Med Case Rep Vol.10 No.6: 401.

Description

Internuclear Ophthalmoplegia (INO) is a condition that illustrates the precise coordination needed between the brainstem nuclei and the neural pathways that control eye movements. The case presented provides a rare yet valuable example of how Glioblastoma Multiforme (GBM), a highly aggressive and vascular brain tumor, can spread to key areas of the brainstem, such as the Medial Longitudinal Fasciculus (MLF), resulting in bilateral INO. This represents an unusual form of tumor progression and highlights the necessity for clinicians to consider rare and potentially serious origins when evaluating new neurological symptoms in patients with a prior history of Central Nervous System (CNS) tumors.

Mechanisms of INO in brain tumors

The pathophysiology of INO is well known in relation to lesions in the MLF, which coordinates eye movements by connecting the nuclei of cranial nerves responsible for horizontal eye movements the abducens nucleus and the oculomotor nucleus. A lesion in this tract leads to reduced adduction of the eye on the side of the lesion and nystagmus in the abducting (contralateral) eye. The case highlighted provides a rare yet serious mechanism where glioblastoma spreads to the brainstem, causing compression or infiltration of the MLF, which disrupts this important neural pathway. This further emphasizes the necessity for vigilant neurological monitoring in patients with CNS malignancies, as tumor progression can present in unexpected forms, such as INO.

Clinical significance

The occurrence of bilateral INO in a patient with a history of high-grade astrocytoma (glioblastoma) serves as a vital reminder for physicians that tumor advancement can lead to new and debilitating neurological symptoms, including double vision and impaired eye movements. The patient's symptom onset double vision during horizontal gaze was a significant indication, suggesting a possible complication related to the tumor's growth or seeding into the brainstem. The swift emergence of symptoms

in this case, along with imaging confirming the spread of the glioblastoma near the fourth ventricle and MLF, underscores the importance of prompt and comprehensive imaging studies when new symptoms present in patients with known CNS tumors.

Differential diagnosis and importance of early intervention although the differential diagnosis for INO surround various causes such as ischemic events, multiple sclerosis and other inflammatory conditions, the link with glioblastoma drop metastasis in this case necessitates heightened awareness of uncommon tumor-related causes. The identification of drop metastasis in the fourth ventricle, adjacent to the pons, is a rare and concerning complication of glioblastoma. This type of metastasis, typically appearing as small lobulated ring-enhancing lesions, suggests a pattern of spread that can significantly impact neurological function, particularly when it affects critical structures like the MLF.

Prompt recognition of INO as a sign of disease advancement in this case is important to direct treatment. Reinstating the chemotherapy regimen (Temozolomide) and starting corticosteroids is standard procedure to manage the tumor's progression and related edema, thereby stabilizing the patient and possibly alleviating the symptoms of INO.

The case underscores the importance of maintaining a broad differential diagnosis when evaluating patients with neurological impairments like INO, as it can result from a variety of underlying causes. While more common conditions are typically considered first, rare and aggressive tumors, such as glioblastoma, can present with neurological deficits through direct involvement of brain structures or through indirect pathways like metastasis or compression. This highlights the critical role of a thorough clinical and radiological evaluation when new neurological symptoms develop in patients with known CNS tumors. The ability to quickly recognize these symptoms and initiate appropriate interventions can significantly impact patient outcomes, particularly when tumor progression leads to complex and evolving clinical presentations. In such complicated cases, a high index of suspicion and timely intervention are paramount to optimizing care and managing the multifactorial aspects of tumor-related neurological dysfunction.

Conclusion

This case acts as an important reminder of the various potential causes of INO, particularly how uncommon tumors such as glioblastoma can lead to such a neurological impairment through direct or indirect pathways like metastasis or

compression. It also highlights the necessity of comprehensive clinical and radiological assessment in patients with CNS tumors who show new neurological symptoms. Ongoing vigilance and prompt intervention can improve patient outcomes, especially in complicated cases like this where tumor progression results in intricate symptoms.