A New Biological Technique of Elbow Reconstruction Following an Extensive Tumoral Resection of the Proximal Ulna
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Abstract

The resection of malignant tumors often poses the challenge of reconstruction. The objective of this reconstruction is the restoration of a function. We present the case of a chondroblastic osteosarcoma of the ulna in a 27-year-old patient. The resection sacrificed part of the ulna. The conservation of part of the olecrane allowed an innovative reconstruction to be carried out by bringing the radial head into contact with the humeral trochlea. The preservation of elbow function was satisfactory.
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Introduction

Primary bone tumors around the elbow represent less than 1% of all the skeletal tumors [1]. Chondroblastic osteosarcoma is a relatively rare variant of osteosarcoma with an incidence of 4.2% [2,3]. But much lower than 21% and 23.8% in the series of Dahlin and Huvos [4,5]. The latter two series originate from specialized bone tumour referral centers, which may account for these differences. Differentiation between chondrosarcoma, osteosarcoma and chondroblastic osteosarcoma is relevant because of differences in treatment and prognosis. Current treatment of this type of tumor consists of chemotherapy and radical resection of the tumor. The defect produced after wide excision of the proximal ulna for malignant bone or soft tissue tumors presents a major reconstructive challenge. Several reconstructive options have been described [6,7] these include the implantation of endoprosthesis, autogenous bone grafts, allografts, and other forms of reconstruction. Complications of these procedures include infection, fracture, nonunion, Charcot-type articular degeneration, prosthetic loosening, and prosthetic failure with fracture. We describe a new biological technique for the reconstruction of the elbow after resection of a proximal ulna tumor with the radio-ulnar union at the proximal articular surface of the ulna resulting in a single bone forearm and radius neck-to-humerus trochlea transposition.

Case Report

A 27-year-old woman presented with a 5-months history of repeated left elbow pain. Clinical examination revealed tenderness to palpation of the olecranon, without elbow distension. Elbow and wrist range of motion were normal, pronation and supination were restricted due to pain and no distal neurovascular deficiency was noted. A plain radiograph of the forearm demonstrated a slight osteolytic lesion within the proximal part of the ulna, with disorganized aggressive parosteal reaction without cortical rupture (Figure 1).

Figure 1 A plain radiograph of the forearm demonstrated an slight osteolytic lesion within the proximal part of the ulna.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) demonstrated an intramedullary tumor that involved nearly the half length of the ulna, sparing the small part of the proximal olecranon (Figure 2).
Figure 2 MRI, demonstrated an intramedullary tumor that involved nearly the half length of the ulna, sparing the small part of the proximal olecranon.

The surrounding cortex was partially involved; it was associated with an extension to the soft parts (Figure 3).

Figure 3 MRI, extension to the soft parts of the elbow.

The elbow and wrist joints were tumor free. A biopsy of the olecranon showed neoplastic cells, which allowed for the diagnosis of chondroblastic osteosarcoma. Chest CT showed no evidence of metastatic disease and bone scintigraphy showed uptake only at the left ulna. Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy was started. Imaging studies performed after chemotherapy showed regression of the tumor. The patient was prepared for operative treatment, which consisted of resection of the proximal ulna and safe margin of normal appearing bone. Reconstruction of the elbow joint was done with radius neck-to-humerus trochlea transposition (Figure 4).

Figure 4 Reconstruction of the elbow joint with radius neck-to-humerus trochlea transposition.

Surgical Technique

Under general anesthesia, the patient was operated in the supine position with a tourniquet. Tumor was exposed through posterior approach over the ulna from the distal humeral epiphysis along the edge of the ulna (Figure 5).

Figure 5 Posterior approach over the ulna from the distal humeral epiphysis along the edge of the ulna.

The incision involved the biopsy scar. Identification of ulnar nerve was conducted visually, and the structure was subsequent exposed within the olecranon. Furthermore, the adjacent portion of the median and vascular bundle were identified and conserved. Wide en bloc resection of his required sacrifice of the extensor muscles, deep branch of the radial nerve and portions of the flexor group (Figure 6).
Muscles insertion and the interosseous membrane were gradually divided from the ulna. Having been completely isolated, the upper 16 cm of the ulna was resected except for half of the olecranon process with the attachment of the triceps tendon. Bone reconstruction was performed with the radius-to-trochlea transposition. In this technique, the mobilized radial bone was displaced so that its head rested posterior to the distal epiphysis of the humerus, and the neck was located between its condyles, forming a pseudo-elbow (Figure 7).

The stability of the construct is dependent on an intact biceps tendon at the bicipital tuberosity by providing a soft restriction to posterior translation of the relative to the humerus trochlea. The triceps tendon is attached to the amount of the proximal olecranon. This will allow subsequent extension and provide a restraint to anterior translation of the neck of the radius. The remaining stability is dependent on scar formation. The radial head was fixed to the remnant olecranon with pins and wires tension band. The forearm was fixed in a neutral position.

To protect the reconstructed elbow joint, 4 weeks of full immobilization with a cast at 90° flexion was applied after surgery, followed by an 6 weeks of passive and active assisted flexion and extension of the left elbow. The histopathological examination of the tumor from resected part of the ulna revealed chondroblastic osteosarcoma. Excision margins were clear of tumor. After surgery, the patient completed adjuvant chemotherapy. At the 3-year follow-up, there was no evidence of local recurrence or distant metastasis. At present, the patient has a good function with active movement of the elbow from 20° to 125° (flexion/extension), Prono-supination was absent. Furthermore, the patient is able to upon resuming normal daily activites.

Discussion

Malignant bone tumors are usually located in the lower extremities. Malignant bone tumors in the upper extremities, especially in the forearm, are rare [1]. Although there have been reports of osteochondroma primary giant cell tumors and Ewing’s sarcoma of the ulna. Salvaging the limb following an ulnar tumor resection poses a complex reconstructive challenge [8-11] options include resection arthroplasty, arthrodesis, resection-replantation [12], autografts [13,14], allografts [15], endoprostheses and radius neck-to-humerus trochlea transposition. Windrager and et al. Recommend resection-replantation, in wich the tumor-bearing area is resected as a cylindrical segment and the distal arm is replanted with shortening [12]. Autografts are an attractive biological option in the younger patient, but there are few reports of their use [13]. Free fibular grafts have been used frequently in orthopedics as a graft to bridge long bony gaps and very few cases to reconstruct joints. There have been reports of it being used to reconstruct elbow joints after resection of distal end of humerus [14,16]. But reconstruction of ulnar component of elbow has rarely been attempted. Usui et al. reported that the use of a free vascularized fibular graft including the heat carried a risk of fibular head collapse [17]. Vascularised fibula autografts have the potential to remodel and hypertrophy under mechanical head; however, they do not allow early weight bearing, they result in frequent complications and donor-site morbidity and are not considered suitable for large defects [18,19]. Allografts provide an alternative biological means of reconstructions, however, instability, fracture, nonunion and infection complicate their use, and the overall complication rate is high (70%) [15]. Certain prosthetic and patient criteria should be fulfilled for total elbow arthroplasty to be considered an acceptable reconstruction option. The prosthesis should allow stable motion of the hand and forearm, replace bone length, and have the mechanical strength to withstand daily use [20]. Total elbow arthroplasty should be performed only in patients with intact neurovascular structures providing function to the forearm and hand. Endoprostheses generally provide improved functional outcome and enable immediate commencement of adjuvant chemotherapy. Sperling et al.
Reported 13 patients who underwent total elbow arthroplasty after excision of tumors at the distal humerus. On the other hand, there has been no report of total elbow arthroplasty after excision of tumors at the proximal ulna [21].

However, endoprosthetic reconstruction following distal humerus tumour resection has produced good functional and oncological results. Hanna et al. [22] reported 18 patients who had a distal humeral endoprosthetic replacement following malignant bone tumour resection. There are few reports on the use of endoprosthetic reconstruction following proximal ulna tumour [23,24], excision and their outcome is largely unknown. The main difference between a distal humeral and proximal ulna endoprosthetic reconstruction is the integrity of the triceps mechanism in the former, which should provide superior function. However, these non-biological methods of reconstruction have higher rates of long-term complications such as implant loosening, postoperative infection and failure. There was no apparent compromise in patient survival following these various procedures. Delays in diagnosis, metastases, size, grade, location of primary tumour and reponse to chemotherapy are the most important factors affecting survival [25]. The procedure of radial neck articulation with the trochlea was first described by Enneking in 1983 [26], Dr. Cable young was the first to perform the procedure in severe trauma cases. The aim of the procedure was to provide a durable and stable reconstruction after complete tumor excision. Preservation of the proximal part of the ulna with triceps attachment provides the necessary stability required for the elbow joint. This may be difficult to achieve if the total ulna is excised [27]. In this case, another similar type of surgical procedure was reported [28], which consisted of radius neck-to-humerus trochlea transposition and an inverted V-plasty of the triceps brachii muscle was attached to an opening created in the center of the radial head.

**Conclusion**

Radius neck-to-humerus trochlea transposition with radio-ulnar synostosis can be considered as a new biologic salvage procedure after resection tumor at the proximal ulna provides a stable elbow with good function.
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